Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	erv	09/668,196	RUSSELL ET AL.
	" y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) Zachariah Lucas.		(3)	
(2) Patrick Finn.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 July 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		•	
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
77/4/06		_	
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (A	.pplicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition for allowance if claim 1 was amended to clarify that the mammals to which which the attenuated measles virus was administered has cancer. It was agreed that the claims would be amended such that the phrase - - having viable cancer cells therein- - would be inserted after the term "mammal" at the beginning of line 2 of claim 1, and that the amendment would be by way of Examiner's Amendment...